# HeFSSA Practitioners Program 2018 "Back to basics on heart failure treatment?"

- Co-morbidity in heart failure
- Arrhythmias in heart failure
- Special investigations in heart failure
- <u>Heart failure with preserved EF, what is new?</u>"





# **CASE STUDY:**

- Mr. G.F is 64 yr old African male who presents with swelling of his lower limbs & dyspnoea of 6 months duration
- He has a background history of:
  - Hypertension for 15 yrs
  - Smoker for 30 yrs
  - No past history of Diabetes
- His current medication includes:
  - Renitec 5mg bd
  - Hydrochlorothiazide 12.5mg dly





# **Examination**

- Minimal bi-pedal oedema with an <sup>↑</sup> JVP, S3 Gallop & scattered bi-basal crackles
- BP 170/105 mmHg
- HR 110 bpm
- Abdominal Girth 106 cm
- Hb 13 g/dl; Urea 8.9 mmol/l; Cretinine 112 μmol/L; eGFR 44; Blood Glucose 8.6 mmol/L; HbA1c 6.2; Chol 6.2 mmol/L;Trigs 3.4 mmol/L; HDL 0.9 mmol/L; LDL 3.9 mmol/L





# What is the diagnosis & what other investigations would you request?



















+ IVSd (MM) 1.53 cm × LVIDd (MM) 5.52 cm , 1.27 cm IVSs (MM) 1.53 cm A LVIDs (MM) 3.82 cm × LVPWs (MM) 1.44 cm EDV (MM-Teich) 149 ml EF (MM-Teich) 57.8% 62.9 ml ESV (MM-Teich) FS (MM-Teich) 30.8 % 0.000 % IVS 96 (MM) IVS/LVPW (MM) 1.20 LV Mass (Cubed) 341 grams LVPW 96 (MM) 13.3 %

# Would you do Cardiac Biomarkers?

• NT-pro BNP = 3200 pg/mL

• Troponin T = 112 ng/L





#### In-Hospital Worsening HF is Associated with Release of Cardiac Troponin & Increased Risk of Death







# Why is There a Link?



# What is the Definition of HFpEF





## ESC HF GL 2016:

## **Definition Of Heart Failure With Preserved (HFpEF)**

	HFpEF							
Sy	Symptoms ± Signs <sup>2</sup>							
	LVEF ≥ 50%							
1.	Elevated levels of natriuretic peptides <sup>b</sup>							
2.	At least one additional criterion:							
	a) Relevant structural heart disease (LVH and/or LAE)							
	b) Diastolic dysfunction (for details see Section 4.3.2)							



"Signs and symptoms of HF are often non-specific and do not discriminate well between HF and other clinical conditions"

ESC 2016:

Heart Failure Society of South Africa

Ponikowski et al EHJ 2016

ESC 2016 Key [	Diagnostic HFpEF Criteria
"Preserved" EF	≥ 50%
Structural alterations	LAVI > 34 mL/m2
	or
	LVMI $\ge$ 115 (males) / $\ge$ 95 (females) mg/m2
<b>Functional alterations</b>	E/é ≥13
	é (mean septal and lateral) < 9cm/s
NTproBNP	> 125pg/mL or (SR; increase with Afib!)
BNP	> 35pg/mL
SA Heart	

 $\checkmark$ 

# **Diagram Of LV Filling**



# **End – Diastolic Pressure Volume Relations**







**Focus on Stiffness** 

# It Used To Seem So Simple...

# **Patterns of Diastolic Function**

In the beginning (mid '80s)...



#### And the sickest of all looked like this





## Patterns Of Ventricular Remodeling Are Different For HFrEF And HFpEF



## Heart Failure is a Disease Associated with Multiple Risk Factors



# **The Changing Paradigm of HFpEF**



Heart Failure Society of South Africa



HFpEF is multi-faceted, multi-organ disorder that involves hypertensive remodeling, ventricular-vascular stiffening, obesity/metabolic stress, aging, & sedentary lifestyle, all leading to global loss of cardiac, vascular, & peripheral reserve, which are the hallmarks of HFpEF

Shah SJ. J Cardiovasc Transl Res. 2017.

# **HF-PEF: Mechanistic Considerations**



## An Approach To Diagnosing Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction

Patient presents with exertional dyspnoea

- Take history & perform physical examination
- Measure natriuretic peptides
- Exclude other causes (pulmonary disease, ischaemic heart diseases, anaemia, physical deconditioning)
- Assess risk factor profile (advanced age, hypertension, raised BMI)

Clinical diagnosis of heart failure made when following diagnostic criteria met:

- Presence of typical symptoms & signs of heart failure (including breathlessness, reduced exercise tolerance, fatigue & ankle swelling) features such as a displaced apex beat & third heart sound may be absent in heart failure
- ✓ Elevated natriuretic peptides (BNP ≥ 35 pg/mL or NT-pro BNP ≥ 125 pg/mL)
- Other causes excluded (pulmonary disease, ischaemic heart diseases, anaemia, physical deconditioning)



The following features on resting echocardiography are consistent with a diagnosis of HFpEF (not all need be present)

- Raised pulmonary pressures (TR jet velocity > 2.8 m/s)
- Left atrial enlargement (left atrial volume index > 34 mL/m<sup>2</sup>)
- ✓ Raised E/e' ratio (≥ 13)
- Increased wall thickness (LV mass index > 115 g/m<sup>2</sup> for men: > 95 g/m<sup>2</sup> for women)

Consider exercise study in consultation with cardiologist to confirm impaired diastolic performance & elevated filling pressures

Exercise right heart catheterisation – the gold standard measurement of haemodynamics, but not available in all centres

Stress echocardiography – non-invasive, but relies on good image quality & the presence of tricuspid regurgitation

# How would you Treat this Patient?





## Matrix Approach to Therapy Matching Predisposing Factors and Clinical Presentation





**Bold: Proven Therapy** 

Unbold: Logical, Promising but Unproven

of South Africa [HinFSSA]

Heart Failure Society

## 80+ % of HFpEF pts

### **Almost Universal**

			HFpEF Clinical Presentation Phenotypes					
HFpEF Predisposition Phenotypes		Lung Conjestion	+Chronotropic Incompetence	+Pulmonary Hypertension (CpcPH)	+Skeletal muscle weakness	+Atrial Fibrillation		
	Overweight/obesity/ metabolic syndrome/ type 2 DM	<ul> <li>Diuretics (loop diuretic in DM)</li> <li>Caloric restriction</li> <li>Statins</li> <li>Inorganic nitrite/nitrate</li> <li>Sacubitril</li> <li>Spironolactone</li> </ul>	+Rate adaptive atrial pacing	+Pulmonary vasodilators (e.g. PDE5I)	+Exercise training program	+Cardioversion + Rate Control +Anticoagulation		
	+Arterial hypertension	+ACEI/ARB	+ACEI/ARB +Rate adaptive atrial pacing	+ACEI/ARB +Pulmonary vasodilators (e.g. PDE5I)	+ACEI/ARB +Exercise training program	+ACEI/ARB +Cardioversion + Rate Control +Anticoagulation		
	+Renal dysfunction	+Ultrafiltration if needed	+Ultrafiltration if needed +Rate adaptive atrial pacing	+Ultrafiltration if needed +Pulmonary vasodilators (e.g. PDE5I)	+Ultrafiltration if needed +Exercise training program	+Ultrafiltration if needed +Cardioversion + Rate Control +Anticoagulation		
	+CAD	+ACEI +Revascularization	+ACEI +Revascularization +Rate adaptive atrial pacing	+ACEI +Revascularization +Pulmonary vasodilators (e.g. PDE5I)	+ACEI +Revascularization +Exercise training program	+ACEI +Revascularization +Cardioversion + Rate Control +Anticoagulation		



**Bold: Proven Therapy** 

Unbold: Logical, Promising but Unproven

Shah SJ. Circulation 2016; 134: 73 -90



## Matrix Approach to Therapy Novel Approaches

			HFpEF Clinical	Presentation Phenot	ypes	
HFpEF Predisposition Phenotypes		Lung Congestion	+Chronotropic Incompetence	+Pulmonary Hypertension (CpcPH)	+Skeletal muscle weakness	+Atrial Fibrillation
	Overweight/obesity/ metabolic syndrome/ type 2 DM	<ul> <li>Diuretics (loop diuretis in DM)</li> <li>Caloric restriction</li> <li>Statins</li> <li>Inorganic nitrite/nitrate</li> <li>Sacubitril</li> <li>Spironolactone</li> </ul>	+Rate adaptive atrial pacing	+Pulmonary vasodilators (e.g. PDE5I)	+Exercise training program	+Cardioversion + Rate Control +Anticoagulation
	+Arterial hypertension	+ACEI/ARB	+ACEI/ARB +Rate adaptive atrial pacing	+ACEI/ARB +Pulmonary vasodilators (e.g. PDE5I)	+ACEI/ARB +Exercise training program	+ACEI/ARB +Cardioversion + Rate Control +Anticoagulation
	+Renal dysfunction	+Ultrafiltration if needed	+Ultrafiltration if needed +Rate adaptive atrial pacing	+Ultrafiltration if needed +Pulmonary vasodilators (e.g. PDE5I)	+Ultrafiltration if needed +Exercise training program	+Ultrafiltration if needed +Cardioversion + Rate Control +Anticoagulation
	+CAD	+ACEI +Revascularization	+ACEI +Revascularization +Rate adaptive atrial pacing	+ACEI +Revascularization +Pulmonary vasodilators (e.g. PDE5I)	+ACEI +Revascularization +Exercise training program	+ACEI +Revascularization +Cardioversion + Rate Control +Anticoagulation



## **Bold: Proven Therapy**

Unbold: Logical, Promising but Unproven

Shah SJ. Circulation 2016; 134: 73 -90

ailure Society South Africa

## Therapies Successful in HFrEF have Not Demonstrated Success in HFpEF

Management success in HF randomised controlled trials – no specific therapy for HFpEF is available





\*Meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials ACEi, Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitor; ARB, Angiotensin Receptor Blocker; CRT, Cardiac Resynchronisation Therapy; HF, Heart Failure; ICD, Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator; MRA, Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonist; N2, Nitrogen; PDE5, Phosphodiesterase Type 5. See slide notes for full list of references Heart Failure Society of South Africa Ineressa

# What's new in HFpEF





# Key Large RCTs In HF-PEF





## LCZ696 Simultaneously Inhibits NEP [via LBQ657] & Blocks The AT<sub>1</sub> Receptor [via valsartan]



\*Neprilysin substrates listed in order of relative affinity for NEP: ANP, CNP, Ang II, Ang I, adrenomedullin, substance P, bradykinin, endothelin-1, BNP Levin et al. N Engl J Med 1998;339:321–8; Nathisuwan & Talbert. Pharmacotherapy 2002;22:27–42; Schrier & Abraham N Engl J Med 2009;341:577–85; Langenickel & Dole. Drug Discov Today: Ther Strateg 2012;9:e131–9;Feng et al. Tetrahedron Letters 2012;53:275–6

# PARAGON-HF Prospective comparison of ARni with Arb Global Outcomes in heart failure with preserved ejectioN fraction





## **PARAGON-HF: Study Design**



ACEI=angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB=angiotensin receptor blocker; BID=twice daily; CV=cardiovascular; HF=heart failure; LVEF=left ventricular ejection fraction; NYHA=New York Heart Association



## PARAGON-HF: Key Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

#### Key inclusion criteria:

- ✓ Age ≥55 years; LVEF ≥45%
- ✓ Symptoms of HF requiring treatment with diuretic[s] for ≥30 days prior to study entry
- Current symptomatic HF [NYHA class II–IV]
- Structural heart disease
   [LAE and/or LVH]

AND either

OR

HF hospitalization\* within 9 months prior to study entry Elevated NT-proBNP [>300 pg/mL for patients with SR or >900 pg/mL for patients with AF]

CABG=coronary artery bypass graft; LAE=left atrial enlargement; LVEF=left ventricular ejection fraction; SBP=systolic blood pressure

#### Key exclusion criteria:

- ✓ History of LVEF <45%</p>
- MI, CABG or any event within the 6 months prior to study entry that may have reduced LVEF
- Current acute decompensated HF
- ✓ K >5.2 mmol/L; eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>
- ✓ SBP <110mm Hg or >180mm Hg. If SBP. \*if SBP
   >150 mmHg and <180 mmHg, the patient should be receiving ≥3 antihypertensive drugs
- Probable alternative diagnoses that in the opinion of the investigator could account for the patient's HF symptoms [i.e., dyspnea, fatigue] such as significant pulmonary disease [including primary pulmonary HTN], anemia or obesity. Specifically, patients with the following are excluded:
  - severe pulmonary disease including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD] [i.e., requiring home oxygen, chronic nebulizer therapy, or chronic oral steroid therapy or hospitalized for pulmonary decompensation within 12 months] or
  - ✓ Hemoglobin [Hgb] <10 g/dl, or
  - ✓ body mass index [BMI] >40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>

# **Baseline Characteristics**

Demographics	Randomized Patients, N=4822
Age, y	73±8
Female sex	52%
NYHA Classification	
II	72%
III	27%
IV	1%
Medical History	
Prior heart failure hospitalization	48%
Heart failure hospitalization within 9 mo	38%
Hypertension	96%
Coronary artery disease	43%
Myocardial infarction	23%
Atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter	32%
Left bundle branch block	7%
Diabetes mellitus	43%
Stroke	10%
Current smoker	7%
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	14%
Laboratory Values	
N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide, pg/mL, plasma/serum	885 (863-908)
(median, IQR)	
Ejection fraction (%), mean±SD	58±8
eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m2, mean±SD	63±19
eGRF Category. mL/min per 1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	
<45	18%
≥45, <60	29%
≥60	53%

## Heart Failure Signs & Symptoms in Enrolled Patients



## Comparison of PARAGON-HF with other HFpEF Trials

	PARAGON-HF	TOPCAT	<b>I-PRESERVE</b>	CHARM-	PEP-CHF
	(N=4822)	Americas	(N=4128)	Preserved	(N=850)
		(N=1767)		(N= 3023)	
Age, y	73±8	72 (64-79)	72±7	67±11	75 (72–79)
Female sex	52%	50%	60%	40%	56%
NYHA classification					
II	72%	<b>59%</b>	22%	61%	I/II=76%
III	27%	35%	77%	38%	
IV	0.6%	1%	3%	2%	III/IV=25%
Ejection fraction, %	58±8	58 (53-64)			64 (56-66)
Hypertension	96%	<b>90%</b>	89%	64%	79%
Coronary artery disease	43%	32%	13%	33%	CABG 20%;
					<b>PCI 8%</b>
Myocardial infarction	23%	20%	23.5%	44%	27%
Atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter at screening	32%	34%	29%	29%	21%
History of AF	52%	42%	29%	29%	
Left bundle branch block	7%		8%		
Diabetes mellitus	43%	45%	27%	28%	21%
Stroke	10%	9%	10%	9%	
Glomerular filtration rate,	61 2 (40 <b>7</b> E)	61 (40, 77)	70+00		
estimated, mL/min (serum)	01.3 (49-75)	01 (49-77)	/3123		
<45	18%	17.7%			
≥45, <60	30%	31%	31%		
≥60	53%	52%			

## Differences in Baseline Characterics between PARAGON-HF (HFpEF) & PARADIGM (HFrEF)

Demographics	PARAGON-HF	PARADIGM-HF			
Age	73 ± 8	64 ± 11			
Female Sex	52%	22%			
NYHA Classification:2=CLASS II; 3=CLASS III; 4=CLASS IV;					
2	72%	71%			
3	27%	24%			
remate Sex52%22%NYHA Classification:2=CLASS II; 3=CLASS III; 4=CLASS IV;272%272%71%327%24%40.6%0.7%Physical ExaminationSitting Pulse Rate (beats/min): 70 ± 1272 ± 12Sitting Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg): 136± 15121 ± 15Sitting Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg): 77 ± 1178 ± 11Medical HistoryHypertension96%71%Grown artery disease43%55%Myocardial Infarction23%43%Atrial Fibrillation/Atrial Flutter at Screening33%					
Physical Examination					
DemographicsPARADOW-HPPARADOW-HPAge Female Sex $73 \pm 8$ $64 \pm 11$ Female Sex $22\%$ NYHA Classification:2=CLASS II; 3=CLASS III; 4=CLASS IV;2 $22\%$ NYHA Classification:2=CLASS II; 3=CLASS III; 4=CLASS IV;2 $72\%$ 4 $71\%$ 24% 42 $72\%$ $71\%$ 24% 4 $0.6\%$ $0.7\%$ Physical Examination2 $72 \pm 12$ 2 $72 \pm 12$ 136 \pm 15 $121 \pm 15$ 121 \pm 15 5Sitting Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg): Sitting Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg): 77 \pm 11 $77 \pm 11$ 78 ± 11Medical History96% $71\%$ 43%Medical History96% $71\%$ 43%Atrial Fibrillation/Atrial Flutter at Screening History of AF $33\%$ 52%Atrial Fibrillation/Atrial Flutter at Screening Diabetes $33\%$ 43%Stroke $10\%$ $9\%$					
Sitting Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg):	136±15	121 ± 15			
Sitting Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg):	77 ± 11	78 ± 11			
Medical History					
Age $73 \pm 8$ $64 \pm 11$ Female Sex $52\%$ $22\%$ NYHA Classification:2=CLASS II; 3=CLASS III; 4=CLASS IV; $2$ $72\%$ 2 $72\%$ $71\%$ 3 $27\%$ $24\%$ 4 $0.6\%$ $0.7\%$ Physical Examination $70 \pm 12$ $72 \pm 12$ Sitting Pulse Rate (beats/min): $70 \pm 12$ $72 \pm 12$ Sitting Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg): $136 \pm 15$ $121 \pm 15$ Sitting Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg): $77 \pm 11$ $78 \pm 11$ Medical HistoryHypertension $96\%$ $71\%$ Coronary artery disease $43\%$ $55\%$ Myocardial Infarction $23\%$ $43\%$ Atrial Fibrillation/Atrial Flutter at Screening $33\%$ History of AF $52\%$ $37\%$ Diabetes $43\%$ $35\%$ Stroke $10\%$ $9\%$ Current Smoker $7\%$ $14\%$					
coronary artery disease	43%	55%			
Myocardial Infarction	23%	43%			
Atrial Fibrillation/Atrial Flutter at Screening	33%				
History of AF	52%	37%			
Diabetes	43%	35%			
Stroke	10%	9%			
Current Smoker	7%	14%			

## Differences in Baseline Characterics between PARAGON-HF (HFpEF) & PARADIGM (HFrEF)

Laboratory ValuesN-Terminal ProB-type Natriuretic Peptide (pg/mL),8851748			
N-Terminal ProB-type Natriuretic Peptide (pg/mL), 885 1748			
Plasma/Serum (geometric mean, 95% CI) (864, 908) (1712, 1785)			
<b>Ejection Fraction (%):</b> 58 ± 8 29%			
Glomerular Filtration Rate, Estimated (mL/min), Serum: 63±19 68±19			
< <b>45</b> 18% 10%			
>= 45, < 60 30% 25%			
>= 60 53% 65%			
Glomerular Filtration Rate, Estimated (mL/min), Serum: $63 \pm 19$ $68 \pm 19$ $< 45$ $18\%$ $10\%$ $>= 45, < 60$ $30\%$ $25\%$ $>= 60$ $53\%$ $65\%$ Medical Therapies at Baseline         Diuretic $96\%$ Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonists $24\%$ $56\%$ ?         ACE-inhibitor $40\%$ $78\%$ Angiotensin Receptor Blockers $45\%$ $23\%$			
<b>Diuretic</b> 96% 80%			
Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonists 24% 56%?			
ACE-inhibitor 40% 78%			
Angiotensin Receptor Blockers45%23%			
<b>Digoxin 9% 30%</b>			
<b>Beta Blockers</b> 80.2% 93.0%			
Calcium Channel Blockers 36.0%			
Aspirin 40 % 52%			
Statin Lipid Lowering Medication 62% 56%			
Automated Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator0.4%14.8%			

Circ Heart Fail. 2018

# Background

- Heart failure with preserved EF (HFpEF): frequent but no specific therapy<sup>1</sup>
- Insufficient cGMP generation by soluble Guanylate Cyclase (sGC) in HFpEF<sup>2,3</sup>







Heart Failure Society

<sup>1</sup>Senni M et al. Eur Heart J 2014;35:2797-815; <sup>2</sup>Stasch JP et al. Nature 2001;410:212-15; <sup>3</sup>Greene SJ et al. J Am Heart Assoc. 2013;2:e000536

# Background

- Heart failure with preserved EF (HFpEF): frequent but no specific therapy <sup>1</sup>
- Insufficient cGMP generation by soluble Guanylate Cyclase (sGC) in HFpEF <sup>2,3</sup>

SOCRATES Reduced (JAMA 2015): Decrease in NT-proBNP, increase in EF, trend for reduced clinical events at 10 mg Vericiguat <sup>4</sup>



# **Study Design**



# **SOCRATES Phase 2 Results**

#### No effect on primary endpoints LAV or log-NT-proBNP at week 12 in patients with HFpEF despite NT-proBNP reduction in patients with HFrEF (parallel SOCRATES-REDUCED study)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Patient-Reported Health Status: KCCQ Domains Improvements Largely Driven by Improvements in Physical Functioning: KCCQ Physical Limitation Score

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

SA Heart Data are mean ± standard error for the full analysis set excluding those subjects with incorrectly assigned doses

![](_page_42_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Conclusions

- In patients with advanced HFpEF after recent HF decompensation, vericiguat up to a target dose of 10mg was safe and well tolerated
- Vericiguat did not change the primary endpoints, NT-proBNP or LAV at 12 weeks compared with placebo
- In pre-defined exploratory analyses of patient-reported outcomes, vericiguat was associated with clinically important improvements in patients' health status and quality of life
- The interesting findings with this novel once daily oral sGC stimulator in HFpEF warrant further study, possibly with higher doses, longer follow-up, and additional endpoints

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Why is sGC a Logical Target to Improve Physical Function?

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

comorbidity-related

inflammation<sup>3</sup>

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

# **Parallel Conduct of VITALITY with VICTORIA**

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Diabetes is Associated with Worse Outcomes**

CV death or HHF in patients with and without diabetes according to ejection fraction category

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Renal glucose re-absorption in patients with hyperglycaemia

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Urinary glucose excretion via SGLT2 inhibition

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Randomised Controlled Trials of SGLT2 Inhibitors in HF

	EMPEROR-Preserved <sup>1</sup>	EMPEROR-Reduced <sup>2</sup>	Dapa-HF <sup>3</sup>	
Sample size	4126	2850*	4500	
Key inclusion criteria	Patients with o Elevated NT eGFR ≥20 ml/n	Symptomatic HFrEF <sup>†</sup> Elevated NT-proBNP eGFR ≥30 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>		
Primary endpoint	Time to first event of adjud adjudicated HHF	Time to first occurrence of CV death, HHF or urgent HF visit		
Key secondary endpoints	Individual components of p All-cause mortality All-cause hospitalisation Time to first occurrence of eGFR Change from baseline in KC	orimary endpoint sustained reduction of CCQ	Total number of HHF or CV death All-cause mortality Composite of ≥50% sustained eGFR decline ESRD or renal death Change from baseline in KCCQ	
Start dateMarch 2017ExpectedJune 2020completion dateImage: Completion date		March 2017 June 2020	February 2017 December 2019	

\*NT-proBNP-based enrichment of the population with patients at higher severity of HF; †NYHA class II-IV

eGFR, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; ESRD, End-Stage Renal Disease; HF, Heart Failure; HHF, Hospitalisation for Heart Failure; KCCQ, Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; LVEF, Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction; NT-proBNP, N-terminal Pro–B-type Natriuretic Peptide; SGLT2, Sodium-Glucose co-Transporter-2

1. ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03057951; 2. ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03057977; 3. ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03036124

## EMPA-REG OUTCOME was a Randomised, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled CV Outcomes Trial

# Patients with T2D and established CV disease

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

CV disease was defined as ≥1 of the following:

- CAD
- PAD
- History of MI
- History of stroke

Empagliflozin or placebo given on top of standard of care

![](_page_50_Figure_9.jpeg)

3.1 years median observation time

#### Primary endpoint: 3P-MACE

![](_page_50_Picture_12.jpeg)

Pre-specified primary endpoint components:

- CV death
- Non-fatal MI
- Non-fatal stroke

#### Other pre-specified outcomes

- Hospitalisation for heart failure
- All-cause mortality
- All CV and neurological events were adjudicated by independent, masked, clinical event committees

![](_page_50_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_22.jpeg)

## The Reduced Risk of 3P-MACE was Primarily Driven by a 38% Reduction in CV Death

#### Patients with event/ analysed (%)

	Empagliflozin	Placebo	HR	(95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
<b>3P-MACE</b>	490/4687 (10.5)	282/2333 (12.1)	0.86	(0.74, 0.99)*	F <b>-</b>	0.04*
CV death	172/4687 (3.7)	137/2333 (5.9)	0.62	(0.49, 0.77)		<0.001
Non-fatal MI	213/4687 (4.5)	121/2333 (5.2)	0.87	(0.70, 1.09)		0.22
Non-fatal stroke	150/4687 (3.2)	60/2333 (2.6)	1.24	(0.92, 1.67)		0.16
				0.25	0.5 1 2	2
					←	$\rightarrow$
	Favours		Favours Favour	s placebo		
					empagliflozin	

#### Analysis was pre-specified to the pooled empagliflozin data

![](_page_51_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_5.jpeg)

Empagliflozin is not indicated in all countries for CV risk reduction ARR for 3P-MACE: 1.6%; ARR for CV death: 2.2%. Cox regression analysis. \*95.02% CI and two-sided *p*-value 3P-MACE, 3-point major adverse cardiovascular events; ARR, absolute risk reduction; MI, myocardial infarction Zinman B *et al.* N Engl J Med 2015;373:211 & supplementary appendix

## The Reduction in Hospitalisation for Heart Failure was Similar Between Both Empagliflozin Doses

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

Empagliflozin is not indicated for the treatment of heart failure Pre-specified analysis; cumulative incidence function; treated set Zinman B *et al.* N *Engl J Med* 2015;373:2117(supplementary appendix)

![](_page_52_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Recent Guidelines Recognise Empagliflozin for the Prevention or Delay of Heart Failure in T2D

#### 2016 ESC guidelines

Recommendations to prevent or delay the development of overt heart failure or prevent death before the onset of symptoms

Empagliflozin should be considered in patients with T2D in order to delay the onset of heart failure and prolong life				Level B
dysfurction, in order to prevent or delay the onset of HF and prolong life.		<b>'</b>		152
Counselling and treatment for smoking cessation and alcohol intake reduction is recommended for people who smoke of onsume excess alcohol in order to prevent or delay the onset of HF.	or who	and the second s	с	131–134
Treating other risk factors of HF (e.g. obesity, dysglycaemia) should be considered in order to prevent or delay the on	set of HF.	lla	с	130, 141, 153–155
Empagliflozin should be considered in patients with type 2 diabetes in order to prevent or delay the onset of HF and pro	olong life.	lla	В	130
ACE-I is recommended in patients with asymptomatic LV systolic dysfunction and a history of myocardial infarction in order to prevent or delay the onset of HF and prolong life.				5, 144, 145
ACE-I is recommended in patients with asymptomatic LV systolic dysfunction without a history of myocardial infarction, in order to prevent or delay the onset of HF.				5
ACE-I should be considered in patients with stable CAD even if they do not have LV systolic dysfunction, in order to prevent or delay the onset of HF.				142
Beta-blocker is recommended in patients with asymptomatic LV systolic dysfunction and a history of myocardial infarction, in order to prevent or delay the onset of HF or prolong life.				146
<ul> <li>ICD is recommended in patients:</li> <li>a) with asymptomatic LV systolic dysfunction (LVEF ≤30%) of ischaemic origin, who are at least 40 days after acute myocardial infarction,</li> <li>b) with asymptomatic non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy (LVEF ≤30%), who receive OMT therapy,</li> <li>in order to prevent sudden death and prolong life.</li> </ul>		I	В	149, 156–158

## Potential CV & Renal Function Preservation Mechanisms of Empaglifloz in that May Benefit Heart Failure

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

Empagliflozin is not indicated for the treatment of heart failure or renal disease; empagliflozin is not indicated in all countries for CV risk reduction.

#### The pathways shown represent not yet proven hypotheses and may not apply to individual patients The effects shown for renal function is based on the long-term results of empagliflozin versus placebo in EMPA-REG OUTCOME<sup>8</sup> SGLT2, sodium-glucose co-transporter-2

1.Heise T et al. Diabetes Obes Metab 2013;15:613; 2. Heise T et al. Clin Ther 2016;38:2265; 3. Ferrannini G et al. Diabetes Care 2015;38:1730; 4. Briand F et al. Diabetes 2016;65:2032; 5. Heerspink HJ et al. Circulation 2016;134:752; 6. Inzucchi S et al. Diab Vasc Dis Res 2015;12:90; 7. Zinman B et al. N Engl J Med 2015;373:2117; 8. Wanner C et al. N Engl J Med 2016;375:323

## **EMPEROR-Reduced & EMPEROR-Preserved Heart Failure Outcome Trials**

# **Summary**

EMPEROR-Reduced<sup>1</sup> and EMPEROR-Preserved<sup>2</sup> trials follow on from EMPA-REG OUTCOME in patients with T2D and established CV disease

The EMPEROR trials are the first dedicated outcomes trials of empagliflozin for the treatment of chronic heart failure

The EMPEROR HF clinical trial programme will provide insights into the safety and efficacy of empagliflozin in patients with HFpEF and HFrEF, both with and without T2D, receiving current standard of care

![](_page_55_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_6.jpeg)

**"For medicine, the** greatest surprises lie still ahead of us, but they are there waiting to be discovered or stumbled over sooner or later "

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)